

To: 'Cacciatore, David'[David.Cacciatore@CBIFederalServices.com]; Davis, David[drdavis@blm.gov]
Cc: Rodriguez, Dante[Rodriguez.Dante@epa.gov]; Adams, Martha[Martha.Adams@CBIFederalServices.com]
From: Jeryl Gardner
Sent: Tue 1/3/2017 9:05:56 PM
Subject: RE: Public Comments on PP for Responsiveness Summary
[removed.txt](#)
[20161219 SPS Comments.pdf](#)

.....
Hi David,

Attached is a scan of a hard copy PP comment, this one from SPS, received by NDEP on 12/21/16.

I also had a question about whether the YPT Tribal Consultation questions and responses should be included in the Responsiveness Summary, as most of those comments are duplicated in their PP comments.

Also, I discussed the idea with Dante, and received concurrence from Dave Davis, that it might be better to individually draft RTCs, then collate the agreed upon RTCs subsequently, for the public record.

With that in mind, I will save the RTC document you provided, but change the Responses column header to NDEP Responses, and delete the EPA responses to YPT Consultation. I would suggest similar actions for BLM and EPA, then we can exchange documents when we are done.

By the way, is there a specified timeline for RTCs? I recommend we try to complete our individual RTCs to share with each other within 2 weeks from today, 1/17.


And, what about a specified method? Do we have to write a letter with our combined RTCs to each commenter individually, or do we just include all comments in the Responsiveness Summary and maintain this one RTC record as part of the files?

Thanks,

Jeryl

Jeryl R. Gardner, P.E., C.E.M.

Abandoned Mine Lands Program Coordinator
Bureau of Corrective Actions, NDEP
901 S. Stewart St., Ste 4001
Carson City, NV 89701
775-687-9484
jgardner@ndep.nv.gov



From: Cacciatore, David [mailto:David.Cacciatore@CBIFederalServices.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 3, 2017 10:17 AM

To: Davis, David; Jeryl Gardner
Cc: Rodriguez.Dante@epa.gov; Adams, Martha
Subject: RE: Public Comments on PP for Responsiveness Summary

Team,

Attached is a Response to Comments table of all the comments received. I plan to categorize the comments by topic, and issue a revised RTC.

David

The RTC table is split into 11 sub-tables within the file:

1. Responses to Walker River Paiute Tribe Comments, dated December 21, 2016
General Comments
2. Responses to Walker River Paiute Tribe Comments, undated
General Comments
3. Responses to Yerington Paiute Tribal Consultation Questions and Comments, dated December 14, 2016
General Comments
4. Responses to Yerington Paiute Tribe Comments, dated December 21, 2016
Specific Comments (1–8)
5. Responses to Yerington Paiute Tribe Comments, dated December 21, 2016
General Comments (9–Summary)
6. Responses to Yerington Community Action Group Comments, dated December 14, 2016
General Comments
7. Responses to Yerington Community Action Group Comments, dated December 14, 2016
Specific Comments
8. Responses to Great Basin--Susan Juetten Comments, dated December 21, 2016
General Comments
9. Responses to Great Basin Resource Water, dated December 20, 2016
Specific Comments
10. Responses to Atlantic Richfield Company Comments, dated December 21, 2016
General Comments
11. Responses to Atlantic Richfield Company Comments, dated December 21, 2016
Specific Comments

From: Davis, David [<mailto:drdavis@blm.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, January 03, 2017 10:02 AM
To: Jeryl Gardner
Cc: Cacciatore, David; Rodriguez.Dante@epa.gov; Adams, Martha
Subject: Re: Public Comments on PP for Responsiveness Summary

I like that idea as well. That way nothing should fall thru the cracks.

Dave Davis, Geologist

Hazmat Program Lead

Nevada State Office

Office: 775-861-6575

Cell: 775-741-4881

On Tue, Jan 3, 2017 at 9:51 AM, Jeryl Gardner <JGARDNER@ndep.nv.gov> wrote:

Hi,

While I like Dante's proposal of splitting up the comments for review as a labor-saving objective, this may not provide a completely consistent method for providing comments, given our different agency perspectives.

I suggest that we each take all 7 comments and prepare responses according to our individual agency goals, objectives, requirements and perspectives.

Then, we could schedule a call to discuss our perspectives to arrive at a common unified response for the record.

In my mind many of the comments are not substantive or relevant, and may only require a generic response, something like "thank you for your comment", or "we appreciate your comments and will consider these as we move forward towards remedial design and action".

Thanks,

Jeryl

Jeryl R. Gardner, P.E., C.E.M.

Abandoned Mine Lands Program Coordinator
Bureau of Corrective Actions, NDEP
901 S. Stewart St., Ste 4001
Carson City, NV 89701
775-687-9484
jgardner@ndep.nv.gov



From: Cacciatore, David [<mailto:David.Cacciatore@CBIFederalServices.com>]
Sent: Thursday, December 29, 2016 11:17 AM
To: drdavis@blm.gov
Cc: Rodriguez.Dante@epa.gov; Jeryl Gardner; Adams, Martha
Subject: Public Comments on PP for Responsiveness Summary

Dave,

Attached are the comment letters on the PP. The files are not too big so I decided to email them.

David



David A. Cacciatore, Ph.D., PE, PMP

Engineering Manager

Federal Services

Environmental & Infrastructure

Tel: +1-925-288-2299

Cell: +1-925-383-9789

david.cacciatore@cbifederalservices.com

CB&I

4005 Port Chicago Highway

Suite 200

Concord, CA 94520

United States of America

www.CBI.com